IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 30 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 454 OF 2006

RAJAN VINAYAK LOTLIKAR PROPEIETOR OF M/S. RAJ JEWELLERS ... Applicant

Versus

THE GOA STATE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD., THROUGH MANAGING DIRECTIR AND 2 ORS. ... Respondents

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Sr. Advocate with Mr. S. Y. Thaly, for the Applicants.

Mr. S.R. Rivonkar for the Respondents.

Coram:- S.A.BOBDE, J.

Date:- 26th April, 2007

P.C.:

ORAL JUDGMENT;

Admit.

Taken up for final disposal by consent.

It appears that for some reason not disclosed in the Order, costs quantified at Rs.10,000/- have been directed to be paid by the original plaintiff, within a period of two weeks, failing which the suit has been directed to be dismissed. Normally an order awarding costs, cannot be assailed in a review petition. However, in this case, costs have been directed to be paid by the party whose contention has been accepted and whose amendment was allowed by the Court. This constitutes a mistake apparent on the record. Direction for payment of costs is hereby set aside.

Disposed of.

S.A.BOBDE, J.

sl.